

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/676,922	LOWELL, DAVID E	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC C. WAI	2195	

All Participants:

(1) ERIC C. WAI

(2) Dan C Hu (Reg No. 40,025)

Date of Interview: 2 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

11, 15, 17, 35, 38, 52, 56

Prior art documents discussed:

Kawamoto, Davis

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed amending the independent claims to more clearly define the step of virtualizing and devirtualizing the I/O device by indicating that the VMM uses a state machine to perform such steps. The prior art makes no mention for using a state machine to perform virtualization of an I/O device. Applicant authorized amendments to be made via an Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Li B. Zhen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2194

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)